

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TEADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

•						
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/382,406	08/24/1999	DAVID S. BREED	ATI-197	5191		
22846	7590 04/29/2002					
BRIAN ROFFE, ESQ			EXAMINER			
366 LONGACRE AVENUE WOODMERE, NY 11598			ARTHUR, G	ARTHUR, GERTRUDE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3661			
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2002	#9		
				1/1/		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/382,406	BREED ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	<u>_</u>
•		Gertrude Arthur	3661	4
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ss
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	February 2002 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims			nerits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers	or election requirement.		
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
,—	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) 🔲 T	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) 🔲 T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	ts have been received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		ge
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	·	•	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			plication).
•	□ The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •		
Attachment	, <i>,</i>			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		action Summary	Part of Pa	per No. 9

Application/Control Number: 09/382,406

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-59 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-78 of copending Application No. 09/853,118. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a combination neural network producing the output indicative of the current occupancy state of the seat upon inputting a data set representing the current occupancy state of the seat and being formed from data from at least some of the transducers since it would perform the same

function of developing a system for determining the occupancy state of a seat in the vehicle occupied by a person or item.

Page 3

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-59 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur whose telephone number is (703) 308-7564. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/382,406

Art Unit: 3661

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

GA

April 25, 2002

GERTRUDE ARTHUR